Did you know that as many people use homeopathy to treat their animals as themselves? This amazing fact is borne of my experience at Ainsworths where, over 25 years, I have observed how we consistently receive an equal number of calls for both animal and human medicine. Furthermore, this ratio holds true for both the initial experience of homeopathy as well as the continued use of remedies, and the attitude of clients new to homeopathy is almost identical irrespective of the nature of the patient.

First-time callers ask the same questions and require the same degree of reassurance and support whether the remedy is for a human or animal. The main difference relates to the nature of pathology being discussed and the client’s attitude toward funding its resolution. The latter arises from our indoctrinated belief that medicine should be free (under the NHS), whereas animal treatment is exclusively in the private domain. Ironically, this indoctrination is so strong that the same caller will make some huge financial sacrifice to save a pet, yet resent spending even a small amount on his or her own health. I appreciate that we are a nation of pet-lovers but sometimes this is taken to extremes.

The main reasons for choosing homeopathy given by novitiate to animal homeopathy are as follows:

• a perception that it is equally or more effective than allopathy
• absence of side-effects and drug residues
• economical; considerably cheaper than the conventional veterinary route.

This reassuring demand for animal remedies provides excellent support for the promotion and widespread acceptance of homeopathy; it also counters the prejudice that it is merely all in our mind, or a placebo response. Although we have a tendency to anthropomorphise animals, especially our pets, in reality they do not possess what humans refer to as ‘free will’. An animal is guided by nature, like a train is guided by its tracks; instinct and the pack ensure security and govern its life. By contrast, we humans possess a mind with the free will to make choices and override our animal instinct, or not as the case may be. When out of control we are described as ‘acting like animals’, which is disrespectful to nature as there is certainly no survival value in hooliganism.

Animal homeopathy – same as humans?

Homeopathy, as the reader will be aware, transcends the illusion inherent within allopathy of an imaginary separation of disease into mental, physical and emotional compartments resulting in a pill for every ill. The discovery of a simillimum requires an integrated, holistic approach. The very same process and remedies are applicable to animal homeopathy. This may appear to contradict the absence of ‘a mind’ mentioned above, until we consider the real difference between animals and humans is the free will to choose the pattern of behaviour being exhibited. Although you may not know what an animal is thinking, you can observe the effects of its ‘mind’ in more physical ways. Rajan Sankaran’s ‘sensation method’ is beautifully appropriate for animals, as mind symptoms are manifest in every aspect of the physical pathology which becomes a more reliable indicator than imagining what the animal is thinking. Consider, for example, how you might use non-verbal clues to differentiate between Bryonia, Ledum and Rhus toxic for a stiff paw and you realise that this is easier than it first appears.

The principles of homeopathic animal treatment are essentially the same as those for humans. However, since animals cannot describe their symptoms in words, the indications for the choice of a remedy must be gleaned more by careful observation. Indeed, the inability to verbally protest is the main reason animal treatment is so enshrined by law – to protect the innocent from the ignorant.

Legal issues

Other articles in this journal refer to the legal issues of homeopaths treating animals, so I will just say here that, under recent legislation (in the last two years), the only person who can legally supply a veterinary homeopathic medicine is a responsible qualified person (RQP). The term RQP encompasses the three types of qualified persons who can supply POM-VPS and NFA-VPS medicines. These are a Veterinary Surgeon registered with the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons (RCVS), a Pharmacist registered with the Royal...
Pharmaceutical Society (RPSGB/NI), and a Suitably Qualified Person (SQP) registered with the Animal Medicines Training Regulatory Authority (AMTRA). Clearly, unless you fall under one of these three categories, you may find yourself in some difficulty if you treat or dispense remedies to animals.

The main concern is in making a diagnosis and offering to provide treatment, whereas providing unsolicited advice alone on a prescription for an existing diagnosis from a vet is less honorable. It was always generally accepted that only a vet, in whose care the animal is placed, or the animal’s owner may treat the animal. Old ploys, like buying the animal from the owner for a penny before and selling it back after treatment, may not entirely protect you from culpability if things do not go well. It is far better to protect yourself from an unsatisfactory outcome by clarifying your limits and responsibilities before indulging in this field.

Early history of animal homeopathy
The reprint of Clemens Maria Franz von Bonninghausen’s article ‘Cures of animals with high potencies’ on page 12 of this issue, and ‘A very brief history of veterinary homeopathy’ on page 13 of the same article, describes the earliest known use of homeopathy for the treatment of animals.

Although the practice of treating animals with homeopathy has existed since Hahnemann’s time, in recent years it has emerged from the shadows and appears to be growing exponentially, expanding in as many directions as there are animal species and unresolved problems. From our experience at Ainsworths we are aware of tens of thousands of clients who use it for their pets and 5,000 farms who have used homeopathy for cattle, sheep, pigs, and chickens. In the UK there are some 150 vets practising homeopathy and over one third of these have qualified to the Faculty of Homeopathy’s VetMFFHom level. Their interest is maintained by the British Association of Homeopathic Veterinary Surgeons (BAHVS), which publishes a journal, provides quarterly updates in the Faculty of Homeopathy’s journal and holds a conference on alternate years with the Faculty. I have witnessed this conference growing from a small meeting of 20 vets to a large international gathering of over a hundred vets, which this year meets to discuss the topic of cancer with a list of well-known speakers.

Recent use of homeopathy with animals
Over the years I have encountered remedies being used for a bemusing number of species including: alpacas, bats, bees, caribou, cats, cattle, chickens, deer, dogs, fish, goats, horses, lamas, mice, pigs, rats, sheep, and snakes. With demand from such a broad species range come new challenges for the homeopath; perhaps the most important of which is whether to treat the animal at all, either from the medico-legal perspective above, or by determining whether the condition is actually a natural species trait and not a disease. For example, canine phantom pregnancy is perfectly normal pack behaviour in the wild but may be misinterpreted as a pathological disturbance in the suburbs; likewise, persistent calling in cats is highly irritating to humans but necessary for feline procreation. Overlooking species traits and behaviours may easily lead one to mistreat the animal or suppress hormonal cycles through lack of knowledge. It is therefore imperative to have a vet, with good experience of the species involved, to diagnose the condition before venturing further.

One of the more interesting questions is how to physically administer the dose to the animal patient, and this becomes a real challenge when there is more than one. How, for example, do you treat thousands of salmon in a fish farm at sea? Or 600 sheep on a Welsh mountainside? A million chickens in a factory farm? 7,000 cattle in an Iranian desert? A herd of caribou on the Arctic Circle? These mind-bending requests require knowledge of the species traits as well as good negotiation skills and common sense!

Some of the more common issues raised with Ainsworths are:
- alternatives to vaccination for cats and dogs
- tartar prevention in cats’ and dogs’ teeth

When out of control we are described as behaving ‘like animals’, which is disrespectful to nature as there is certainly no survival value in hooliganism
The importance of this basic information for the farmer wishing to treat a mastitic cow cannot be over-emphasised

Arsenicum in purulent ophthalmia

I was consulted early last August by Mr Abraham Darlington of Great Barrow, near Chester, respecting his cattle, eight of which, out of a stock of 28, were 'going blind'. I went out to see them, and found the symptoms as follows:
1. Some appeared to be suffering from intense irritation of the parts about the eye, as shown by a continual scratching of those parts with their hind feet; but there was no inflammation to be seen either on the eyes or the margins of the lids.
2. In two cases the blood vessels were injected and there was considerable watery discharge running down the cheeks, as in a furrow.
3. In each of two others one eye presented a grey appearance, swollen and out of shape, and the animals were blind with that eye.
4. The eyes of two others were swollen out of all shape, and the colour that of dark mahogany, with dark greenish spots; while from the pupils raw-looking pieces of flesh, something like a miniature tongue, protruded.

The only history of this epidemic (or endemic) attack I could get was that a farmer's stock pastured on fields through which ran a foul brook, carrying some of the drainage of a small town some two miles distant. Another farmer's cattle also fed on similar pasture and his also were attacked, but with these I had nothing to do. I have just heard (February 1882) that the other farmer's stock are still suffering, though still under regular veterinary attendance.

I found on inquiry that the first three or four days after each animal was seized it dropped, lost appetite, and if in milk the quantity was greatly lessened. After about the fourth day, appetite etc, returned. Some thirty years' experience in homeopathic 'treatment', both of bipeds and quadrupeds, has taught me the value of Arsenicum, Hepar, and Merc corr. in such cases; and after some consideration I decided to try Arsenicum.

My farmer was busy beginning his regular harvest work, and had not the accommodation to separate the ailing ones from the others, so I determined that the whole of them should be physicked, which was done as follows:

Arsenicum 2, ten drops in a tablespoonful of water, was given to each animal twice a day (of course a quart mixture was made at a time), and a lotion made with 1 oz of Liquor arsenicalis, B.P., in a gallon of water was also applied externally, a 1 oz India-rubber syringe being used for the purpose.

I heard nothing for four or five weeks, when my friend called in one day to 'pay the damage' for medicine etc, and to say the whole stock had recovered, and none were blind, quite contrary to our fears and expectations.

This is an instructive case, as a cure with one remedy, and I trust the experience so obtained may be of use to some of the many readers of The Homœopathic World. 23 Feb 1882.

Attitudes have changed little and this case highlights salient points that are as true today as when first written by Mr Thomas in 1882.

1. Farmers want to use homeopathy because conventional drugs often fail to resolve their veterinary problems.
2. The problems they present are common, affecting more than one farm.
3. Farmers seek a new approach but they do not want to spend more time using a novel system.
4. Farmers new to homeopathy are reticent to treat animals on an individual basis because they are too busy.
5. Homeopaths have to pander to the farmer's reticence in order to allow the farmer to experience the benefit of homeopathy.
6. There is usually a genus epidemicus remedy for a given current disease.
7. The homeopath needs to find a simple and acceptable way for the farmer to deliver the remedy to his cattle.
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8. Farmers are delighted by the amazing response they get from homeopathy.
9. Farmers learn the practical benefits of homeopathy quickly.

In addition to the above:
1. Homeopathy is cheaper for farmers than conventional medicine.
2. Homeopathy has no side effects.
3. Homeopathic remedies do not create drug residues which prevent or cause expensive delays in the sale of milk or meat.
4. The remedy may change over early, middle and later stages of an acute disease.

In a subsequent report on the treatment of milk fever in cattle, to The Homeopathic World in the same year, Mr Thomas concludes:

There are no cases in which the proof of the efficacy of the small dose, when selected according to the homeopathic doctrine, is so incontrovertible as in the ‘pur dumb beasts’, who can neither exercise faith nor have any prejudice.

Why the wrong remedy is chosen

My initial foray into this area began by assisting farmers who were keen to treat acute cases of bovine mastitis amongst cows of their herd. Invariably the conversation began with the farmer expressing his predicament ‘I always use Phytolacca for these cases but it doesn’t work anymore’. The main reference source at the time was a book on the homeopathic treatment of cows by the grandfather of British animal homeopathy, George Macleod. George, as all who knew him would recognise, was a terse Scotsman with a big heart and a keen eye. He never wore a watch because, as he told me, ‘I stop them’. His books are concise and to the point, such that the novice finds them hard to comprehend.

The content is all relevant but George assumed his reader had a greater working knowledge of homeopathy than they usually do. This led me to co-writing a series of small introductory guides for the homeopathic treatment of sheep, cats, dogs, cattle, and horses. These self-help guides published by Ainsworths have been purchased by tens of thousands of animal owners whose feedback has been both encouraging and heart-warming.

The predicament with books like George’s, I realised, was due to a poor working knowledge of practical homeopathy, meeting a wall of indistinguishable remedies. In the context of a self-help book on animal treatment, the most cynical difference between one written by a vet and a pharmacist is that the vet is trawling for clients and the pharmacist wants to sell remedies! The vet seeks to inform the reader, but need not go the extra mile and assist them to the choice of remedy and potency, because that’s his job. I personally believe in spoon-feeding the client because it’s the simplest way to ensure that he or she has the opportunity to learn the most from his or her experience. My experience is that this does work in practice, a good response is joyful and a poor result always leads one to question how they went wrong and discover the true answer.

In the Phytolacca situation above, the cause of the problem was not the failure of the remedy; it was the failure to understand why the wrong remedy had been chosen. George’s book lists some 15 remedies for treatment of mastitis and gives descriptions of each in relation to pathology. All absolutely relevant; however, on reading them I realised the farmer’s dilemma: they all covered the pathology and each seemed to fit somewhere or other. However, in acute disease, an overlapping change of the symptom picture as it progresses through sequential stages is difficult to comprehend unless you include a timeline. Without such it is utterly confusing to the novitiate, who inevitably resorts to a random approach and is often left wondering why homeopathy is only partially successful.

Then I recalled the advice given by a dear friend and homeopath, Edward Roth, about how the natural progression of infection was embraced by homeopathy and unwiseely ignored by modern medicine. Inflammation, suppuration and induration were accepted principles of old school medicine and still taught to medical students, but the importance of the third of these three stages, induration, was ignored in practice. Since homeopathy embraces reality, it appreciates the importance of time and space. As homoeopaths we accept the vitality of the organism and how symptoms change both objectively and subjectively with vivid descriptions of how this occurs and are affected temporally and spatially. Each consultation provides a snapshot of a moving film, the chronological sequence of events that led to the story so far, and the foresight to know how the story will unfold. It is therefore imperative to bring the three stages of the cycle of healing together in order to make any sense of assisting a cure.

Progression of acute infection

To emphasise this, let us examine what happens in a simple acute infection like a boil. A boil may begin very rapidly as a painful red swelling, sensitive to touch and heat, conforming to the classic Belladonna picture. In this early acute stage of inflammation the body is rallying to the area and beginning to fight the infection at a local level. Unless Belladonna is received, the body moves on to the next stage of infection – suppuration. In order to localise the infection and prevent sepsis invading the body, the area must be sealed off and scar tissue gradually begins to form within the structures beneath the surface as the immune system conducts a local war with bacteria and slowly finds a route to discharge the resultant pus.

How do you administer remedies to a fish farm?
At this stage, perhaps a day or two after the initial symptoms arose, the picture has changed subtly. The pain and inflammation remain but the sensitivity changes from heat to cold, some hardness has begun and the boil starts the process of discharge. Now, the picture more resembles Hepar sulph and here we encounter a beautiful unfurling of our story. For not only has the remedy changed, but also the potency required becomes an important issue. Hepar sulph possesses both an anti-inflammatory and suppurative action; the former being much faster than the latter, for it takes time for a material change to channel out the pus.

The anti-inflammatory response to Hepar sulph can be observed in a matter of minutes and hence the potency administered becomes a very real issue. The higher the potency the greater the stimulus and the faster the anti-inflammatory response precedes. The lower the potency the slower the response and the greater the suppurative action proceeds expelling pus. This is critical information because aborting suppuration with a high potency of Hepar sulph endangers the body by suppressing the natural immune response and allowing infection to travel outside the area of localisation. A few days later, the acute pain has subsided leaving a hardened mass discharging pus as we experience the stage of induration corresponding to Silica.

A great deal of practical information about the acute use of homeopathy can be learned from this mundane experience, particularly the order and potency of the remedies concerned. Silica and Hepar sulph complement each other’s action but also antidote one another according to Clarke’s Clinical Repertory to the Dictionary of Materia Medica. From the above, the reason for this is obvious and can be used to our advantage. The most important lesson is how to apply the timeline in a practical way to the greatest effect. Each of these three remedies is needed at a precise time during the process of the boil, and if any of them are given outside their chronological sequence the result may be delay or obstruction to cure. Thus giving Belladonna when Hepar sulph was required hopefully does nothing, and giving Hepar sulph or Silica at the onset appears to do nothing for several days until the pathology moves into that remedy picture.

The importance of this basic information for the farmer wishing to treat a mastitic cow cannot be over-emphasised. The presentation of the information is very simple and the practical guidelines that ensue from the above provide a simple yet effective approach to dealing with many forms of acute pathology as well as providing a greater appreciation for the wonders of homeopathy as a practical therapy.

Windows of opportunity
I translate this very simply into three ‘windows of opportunity’, at which you have the chance to treat...
with corresponding remedies. These are a beginning, middle and later stage of infection, each of which fits perfectly into the farmer or herdsman’s experience of common infection for mastitis and other infections. In the case of bovine mastitis these stages are relatively easy to observe and discuss, as the prognosis is comparable to the case of the boil above. In an investigation into the prevention of bovine mastitis with homeopathy by Sprangler the various stages are described as: Mastitis katarrhalis, Mastitis akut gravis and Mastitis akut. According to my conversation with the author, this Swiss trial ran into difficulty because of poor husbandry. Unfortunately, the cows on many of the Swiss farms involved were tended so poorly that their udders were dangling in cow faeces, presenting a maintaining cause for infection.

Returning to our list of 15 remedies in George Macleod’s book, we can now separate these into one or more of the three windows of opportunity. By so doing we reduce the confusion and immediately lessen the chance of mis-prescribing. Furthermore, by distributing remedies into these stages we can differentiate a single remedy required within each stage and then discover new information linking pathology and infection. In the case of mastitis in stage one, acute inflammation, we know that Bryonia, Belladonna and Urtica are the prime suspects for acute sudden onset. Although

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glossary</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Mastitis Test (CMT)</td>
<td>A rapid cow-side test for early detection of mastitis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMT score</td>
<td>These are directly related to average somatic cell counts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: <a href="http://www.uwex.edu/milkquality/PDF/045cmt_factsheet.pdf">http://www.uwex.edu/milkquality/PDF/045cmt_factsheet.pdf</a></td>
<td>The following table shows how they are related:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any reaction of T (trace) or higher indicates that the quarter has subclinical mastitis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT Score</td>
<td>Somatic Cell Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (Negative)</td>
<td>0 – 200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T (Trace)</td>
<td>200,000 – 400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400,000 – 1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,200,000 – 5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Over 5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk fever (parturient paresis)</td>
<td>A metabolic disorder that occurs around parturition in mature dairy cows and is characterised by general muscle weakness and circulatory collapse.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: <a href="http://www.abbey-vetgroup.co.uk/milk_fever_(cattle).htm">http://www.abbey-vetgroup.co.uk/milk_fever_(cattle).htm</a></td>
<td>A highly contagious disease of sheep and goats caused by a parapoxvirus. The virus causes pustular and scabby lesions on the non-woolly areas of the skin and occasionally in the mouth and oesophagus. Abrasion of the skin is required to establish infection, for example, through rough grazing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orf</td>
<td>The UK’s leading veterinary charity, caring for more than 350,000 pet patients belonging to people in need. They provide free veterinary treatment to sick and injured animals and promote responsible pet ownership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: <a href="http://www.apd.reading.ac.uk/pustular_and_scabby_lesions.htm">http://www.apd.reading.ac.uk/pustular_and_scabby_lesions.htm</a></td>
<td>From: <a href="http://www.abbey-vetgroup.co.uk/milk_fever_(cattle).htm">http://www.abbey-vetgroup.co.uk/milk_fever_(cattle).htm</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDQA ‘For pets in need of vets’</td>
<td>SCC (Somatic cell count)</td>
<td>Part of the CMT score.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDSA</td>
<td>Neonatal diarrhoea.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
point in the pathology the remedy is obviously working more slowly at promoting discharge and then resolving scar tissue to return the quarter to full activity. With antibiotic therapy it is common for a heavily fibrosed quarter to become either blind (incapable of milk production) or only partially capable of lactation but this is seldom the case when the farmer uses the remedies above.

Mastitis is the principle source of loss on a dairy farm, with each incidence currently costing the UK farmer £220 (source: Dairy Farmer magazine and Farmer’s Weekly). The main loss is from discarded milk, which has to be voided for three days after the cow is treated with an intramammary tube, parenteral antibiotics or steroids. The discard period can be twice this period if the farm is an organic milk supplier.

Bovine mastitis
An Indian study by Varshney and Naresh, conducted to demonstrate the efficacy and comparative cost-effectiveness of homeopathy and antibiotic therapy for bovine mastitis, concluded that the homeopathic combination used was both effective and considerably cheaper than conventional treatment. The cows with mastitis were selected from the Indian Veterinary Research Institute and private dairy farms and all were between their second and sixth lactation and not suffering any other clinical illness at the time of the study. The presence of inflammatory signs in the udder (heat, pain, swelling and oedema), asymmetry of shape and size of quarter, and physical changes in milk (flakes, clots, discoloration, consistency and CMT – California Mastitis Test – score) were the criteria for inclusion in the study. Cases of subclinical mastitis were excluded. In this trial 96 mastitic quarters (67 non-fibrosed and 29 fibrosed) were treated with a homeopathic combination of Arnica 30 + Belladonna 30 + Bryonia 30 + Calc fluor 200 + Conium 30 + Ipecacuanha 30 + Phytolacca 200 + Silica 30. Another 96 quarters with acute mastitis (non-fibrosed) were treated with different antibiotics (administered by intramammary and/or parenteral routes). The trial included a design to test the comparative efficacy and cost effectiveness of two different dosage regimes of the same homeopathic medicine.

It transpired that giving ten pills four times daily was both more efficacious and cheaper than giving 15 pills twice daily in either a chronic fibrosed or acute non-fibrosed case of mastitis (see Table 1). In comparison to conventional treatment, the overall effectiveness of the homeopathic combination medicine in treatment of acute non-fibrosed mastitis was 86% with a mean recovery period of 7.7 days (range 3-28), and the total cost of therapy was 21.4 Rupees (£0.35). The corresponding cure rate for the antibiotic group was 59.2% with a mean recovery period of 4.5 days (range 2-15) and an average cost of 149.2 Rupees (£2.38), see Table 2.

Ideally a farmer wants to prevent mastitis rather than rely on treatment alone, especially as antibiotic resistance and failure is rife.

A clinical trial was conducted on a Mexican dairy farm, in which 26 animals were divided into two homogenous groups of 13 cows each, paired for clinical mastitis status, milk production, age and number of lactations. Animals and treatments were assigned to each group according to a systematic randomised method. The treatment group received a combination remedy of Conium 200 + Phosphorus 200 + Phytolacca 200, and the placebo group received a mixture of alcohol and water as a control. Monthly milk production was carefully recorded for each animal, as were results of the CMT performed on each of the four quarters of each cow’s udder. In this Mexican study the test was always performed by the same person.

The California Mastitis Test (CMT) is a standard qualitative method used by the dairy industry to give the farmer a means of predicting subclinical mastitis by assessing the likelihood of mastitic infection in any one quarter of a cow. It uses a non-ionic detergent (sodium alkyl-sulphonate) to disintegrate milk cells, resulting in a cell conglomerate of gelatinous appearance. The larger the conglomerate (degree of reaction), the greater the number of cells. The results are converted to an equivalence of the somatic cell count (SCC) based on the degree of reaction. Although this test is subjective, dependent on the criteria of the person conducting the test, standardisation is relatively simple, rendering it a popular test, widely used by dairy farmers around the world.

The results showed that the proportion of affected quarters according to CMT, was 32% in the treatment group and 68% in the placebo group. The odds ratio of the difference demonstrates that animals receiving placebo presented 4.5 (1.78 – 11.73) times more subclinical mastitis than those receiving homeopathic treatment (p<0.05). Average milk production in the treated group did not differ significantly from that of the control group (p>0.05). This study confirms previous observations of the benefit of the homeopathic method can provide in disease control in animal populations.

Many different remedy approaches have been used to treat and prevent mastitis but the most effective and simplest method is the use of nosodes in the water trough. A farmer can simply add the remedy to his cow’s water and observe a huge diminution in the conventionally recorded indicator of subclinical mastitis, the Somatic Cell Count.
The graph above demonstrates the results recorded over a three-week period when a farmer new to homeopathy decided to adopt this approach. His erstwhile use of antibiotics had failed to resolve a huge mastitic problem in which most of his 123 lactating cows were ailing, with SCC counts above 200,000. After medicating his troughs with a mastitis nosode supplied by Ainsworths, he recorded a 117% change in healthy cows over the most prevalent seasonal period for the problem without making any other changes to his husbandry.

Other studies have been conducted with other bovine problems including three papers by Williamson et al on the prevention of anoestrus using Sepia 200 and a paper by Kayne and Rafferty on the use of Arsenicum for calf scour.

Farmers are now in a very fortunate position as regards homeopathic education and support. Apart from our excellent little book, The Herdsman's Introduction to Homeopathy, they have access to one-day courses run by OMSCo, the Organic Milk Supplier's Cooperative (www.omSCO.co.uk), and a three-day 'Homeopathy at Wellie Level' course (www.hawl.co.uk). The 26 organic dairy farms that existed when I began 25 years ago have swelled to over 500 and organic milk is now available in every supermarket. Let us hope that people learn to appreciate that if homeopathy is good enough for their animals it is surely good enough for them!

**Conclusion**

As we encounter unwarranted criticism from the media and certain scientific bodies, it is valuable to note how advances in animal homeopathic medicine are providing a way forward. Demonstrating the results of animal treatment and prevention is a very real means of promoting the widespread use of homeopathy.
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